

RESPONSE TO ROTHSTEIN (2014) ON REVISITING

Raj Chetty, John Friedman, and Jonah Rockoff

October 2014

In a recent [paper](#), Jesse Rothstein (2014) successfully replicates [CFR] [results](#) using data from North Carolina. Bacher-Hicks, Kane, and Staiger (2014) using data from the Los Angeles Unified School District. Together, these studies show that value-added (VA) measures of teacher quality show very consistent properties across different settings. Such replications are extremely useful for social science and we are very grateful to Rothstein and Bacher-Hicks, Kane, and Staiger for their efforts in conducting these studies.

Rothstein (2014) additionally raises three concerns about the validity of CFR methods. One of these concerns is new, while the other two were raised and addressed during the peer review publication process of the CFR papers. (Rothstein was one of the peer reviewers of the CFR papers a fact that was disclosed during his testimony for the defense in Vergara v. California.)

While Rothstein raises important issues based on very careful work, we do not believe that any of them ultimately s new concern in detail in a recent [note](#), which we summarize below:

Rothstein Concern #1: The “teacher-switching” quasi-experimental design used by CFR is invalid because it fails a placebo test by showing “effects” of changes mean teacher value-added on changes in prior test scores.

Response: *These apparent “placebo effects” stem from a mechanical feature of using lagged scores for the placebo test itself rather than a flaw in CFR’s design. Because teacher value-added (VA) is estimated using data from students in the same schools in previous years, teachers will tend to have high VA estimates when their students happened to do well in prior years. Regressing changes in prior test scores on changes in teacher VA effectively puts the same data on the left- and right-hand side of the regression, which can mechanically produce a positive coefficient even with a valid research design. We find that accounting for such mechanical effects for instance, by controlling for school-subject-year level shocks eliminates the correlation between changes in lagged scores and current teacher VA but does not affect the original estimate of forecast bias. Rothstein replicates this finding in a revised version of his paper (Appendix Table 6, Row 5). In addition, we find that placebo tests that do not directly re-use the same historical data used to estimate VA for instance, estimating VA only using data from future years uncover no evidence of a correlation with prior test scores.*

Bacher-Hicks, Kane, and Staiger (2014) also document the same set of patterns in data from the Los Angeles Unified School District. Unlike Rothstein, they conclude that the correlihe

Rothstein Concern #2: The exclusion of teachers with missing VA estimates biases the conclusion that VA estimates are forecast unbiased.

Response: *The most definitive way to evaluate whether missing data are a concern is to focus on the subsample of school-grades where no data are missing. In this subsample, we find no evidence of forecast bias (Column 4, Table 5, [CFR I](#)). Rothstein confirms this finding in his own data (Appendix Table 5, Column 4). Rothstein raises a set of issues about how to predict VA for teachers who only appear in the data for one year*

Works Cited

Chetty, Raj, John Friedman, and Jonah Rockoff. 2014. Measuring the Impact of Teachers I: Evaluating Bias in Teacher Value-Added Estimates *American Economic Review* 104(9): 2593-2632.

Chetty, Raj, John Friedman, and Jonah Rockoff. 2014. Measuring the Impact of Teachers II: The Long-Term Impacts of Teachers *American Economic Review* 104(9): 2633-2679.

Bacher-Hicks, Andrew, Thomas J. Kane, and Douglas O. Staiger.
Estimates Using Changes in Teacher Assignments: A Replication of Chetty et al. in Los Angeles
Harvard University Working Paper.

Rothstein, Jesse. 2014. Revisiting the Impacts of Teachers. UC-Berkeley Working Paper.