ABSTRACT

## I. Conceptual Framework

 $Y_{it}$ 

$$Y_{it} = a + {}_g m_{jt} + {}_{it}$$

 $m_{jt} = j_t j$ 

Empirical Implementation.

it

 $m_{jt}$ 

g

g

g

A. School District Data

Test Scores.

Sample Restrictions.

Total Income.

College Attendance.

C. Summary Statistics

D. Cross-Sectional Correlations

 $\mathbf{X}_{it}$ 

III. Research Design 1: Cross-Class Comparisons

 $\mathbf{X}_{it}$  $Y_{it}$   $\widehat{m}_{jt}$  $oldsymbol{eta}^{Y}$ 

 $Y_{it}$ 

 $\widehat{m}$ 

= *p* 

×

\_\_\_\_ =



 $Y_{sgt}$   $Q_{sgt}$ 

 $Y_{sgt} = + Q_{sgt} + _{sgt}$ 

Assumption 3 [Teacher Switching as a Q329z3088 ( e e-0.188 ( ria) -0435 h )

larger

b =

Drift in Quality over Subsequent School Years.

$$n + n + m$$

$$G \ m \ n = -\mathbb{E}\left[m_{j \ n+m} \mid \widehat{m}_{j \ n+} \quad F_{\widehat{m}_{j \ n+}}^{-}\right] \times \qquad \times b$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[m_{j \ n+m} \mid \widehat{m}_{j \ n+} \quad F_{\widehat{m}_{j \ n+}}^{-}\right] \qquad \qquad n+m$$

$$G_{C} \ m = \qquad \times G \ m$$

 $\widehat{m}_{j\,n+}$ 

 $\widehat{m}_j$ 

т

9367)-22(rs)-216.849(of)36155aC(thool yeTr

Costs of Teacher Selection.

Retention of High VA Teachers.

$$\times \qquad \times \qquad \times \mathsf{E}\left[m_{j\,n+} \mid \widehat{m}_{j\,n+} = F_{\widehat{m}_j}^{-}\right]$$

## VII. Conclusion
Aaronson, Daniel, Lisa Barrow, and William Sander.

#### Deming, David.

American Economic Journal: Applied Economics

**District of Columbia Public Schools.** 

Dynarski, Susan, Joshua M. Hyman, and Diane Whitmore Schanzenbach.

Journal of Policy Analysis and Management

Gordon, Robert, Thomas J. Kane, and Douglas O. Staiger.

2013. on.30 10.909 19(66-46

Gunderson, Morley K. and Philip Oreopoulos.

International Encyclopedia of Education, 3rd edition

Haider, Steven, and Gary Solon.

American Economic Review

Hanushek, Eric A

American Economic Review Papers and Proceedings

Hanushek, Eric A., and Steven G. Rivkin.

American Economic Review Papers and Proceedings

Hanushek, Eric A.

Creating a New Teaching Profession

Jacob, Brian A.

Journal of Public Economics

Todd, Petra E. and Kenneth I. Wolpin.

The Economic Journal

**Online Appendix A: Structural Interpretation of Reduced-Form Parameters** 

**Dynamic Model: Setup** 



$$_{j} = \sum_{g=h}^{G} _{g j t_{i} g}$$

College Quality Index.

$$Y_{ig} \qquad gg = Co \quad (m_{jg} \quad m_{jg}) \quad Var \quad (m_{jg})$$
$$g = \frac{Co \quad \left(\sum_{g = gg} m_{jg} + gg \quad m_{jg} + gg \quad m_{jg}\right)}{Var \quad (m_{jg})} = \sum_{g = gg} gg \quad gg$$

$$Co \ \left(m_{jg} \ m_{jg}\right) = g \quad g$$

### $G = \times \times \times =$

#### Deselection on Estimated VA: Monte-Carlo Simulations.



A

n

TABLE 2 Impacts of Teacher Value-Added on College Attendance

ſ	Dep. Var.:	College at Age 20	College at Age 20	College at Age 20	College Quality at Age 20	College Quality at Age 20	College Quality at Age 20	High Quality College	4 or More Years of College, Ages 18-22
		(%) (1)	(%) (2)	(%) (3)	(\$) (4)	(\$) (5)	(\$) (6)	(%) (7)	(%) (8)
Feacher VA		0.82 (0.07)	0.71 (0.06)	0.74 (0.09)	298.63 (20.74)	265.82 (18.31)	266.17 (26.03)	0.72 (0.05)	0.79 (0.08)
Mean of Dep. Va	ır.	37.22	37.22	37.09	26,837	26,837	26,798	13.41	24.59
Baseline Control	S	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Parent Chars. Co	ontrols		Х			Х			
_agged Score Co	ontrols			Х			Х		

I

ł

I

Observations 4,170,905 4,170,905 3,130,855 4,167,571 4,167,571 3,128,478 4,167,571 3,030,878

Notes: Each column reports coefficients from an OLS regression, with standard errors clustered by school-cohort in parentheses. The regressions are estimated on the linked analysis sample (as described in the notes to Table 1). Teacher value-added is estimated using data from classes taught by a teacher in other years, following the procedure described in Section III.A. The dependent variable in Columns 1-3 is an indicator for college attendance at age 20. The dependent variable in Columns 4-6 is the earnings-based index of college quality. See notes to Table 1 and Section II for more details on the construction of these variables. The dependent variable in Column 7 is an indicator for attending a high-quality college, defined as quality greater than the median college quality among those attending college, which is \$43,914. The dependent variable in Column 8 is an indicator for attending four or more years of college between the ages of 18 and 22. All columns control for the baseline class-level control vector, which includes: class size and class-type indicators; cubics in class and school-grade means of lagged own- and cross-subject scores, interacted with grade level; class and school-year means of student-level characteristics including ethnicity, gender, age, lagged suspensions and absences, and indicators for grade repetition, special education, free or reduced-price lunch, and limited English; and gr2(ce)0.150892(g)0.846(i)0.1678490.152588(e)0.1559riolI7(i)0.(d)0.e;52588(d)-4004283(n)0.1509(g)-329288(ce)0.19(ct)88

	Dep. Var.:	Earnings at Age 28	Earnings at Age 28	Earnings at Age 28	Working at Age 28	Total Income at Age 28	Wage Growth Ages 22-28
		(\$) (1)	(\$) (2)	(\$) (3)	(%) (4)	(\$) (5)	(\$) (6)
Teacher VA		349.84	285.55	308.98	0.38	353.83	286.20

#### TABLE 4 Impacts of Teacher Value-Added on Other Outcomes

Dep. Var.:	Teenage Birth	Percent College Grad in ZIP at Age 28	Have 401(k) at Age 28
	(%) (1)	(%) (2)	(%) (3)
Teacher VA	-0.61 (0.06)	0.25 (0.04)	0.55 (0.16)
Mean of Dep. Var.	13.24	13.81	19.81
Baseline Controls	Х	Х	Х
Observations	2,110,402	468,021	650,965

Notes: Each column reports coefficients from an OLS regression, with standard

Dep. Var.:					Pred. Coll. Attendance (%)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Teacher VA	0.86 (0.23)	0.73 (0.25)	0.67 (0.26)	1.20 (0.58)	0.02 (0.06)
Year FE School x Year FE Lagged Score Controls Lead and Lag Changes in Teacher VA	Х	Х	X X X	Х	Х
Number of School x Grade x Subject x Year Cells	33,167	33,167	26,857	8,711	33,167
Sample:	Full Sample	Full Sample	Full Sample	No Imputed Scores	Full Sample
Dep. Var.:					Pred. Coll. Quality (\$)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Teacher VA	197.64 (60.27)	156.64 (63.93)	176.51 (64.94)	334.52 (166.85)	2.53 (18.30)
Year FE School x Year FE Lagged Score Controls Lead and Lag Changes in Teacher VA	Х	Х	X X X	Х	Х
Number of School x Grade x Subject x Year Cells	33,167	33,167	26,857	8,711	33,167
Sample:	Full Sample	Full Sample	Full Sample	No Imputed Scores	Full Sampl69971()-0.mcR

							Matched	Farnings
Student	Subject	Year	Grade	Class	Teacher	Test Score	Data?	at Age 28
Bob	Math	1992	4	1	Jones	0.5	1	\$35K
Bob	English	1992	4	1	Jones	-0.3	1	\$35K
Bob	Math	1993	5	2	Smith	0.9	1	\$35K
Bob	English	1993	5	2	Smith	0.1	1	\$35K
Bob	Math	1994	6	3	Harris	1.5	1	\$35K
Bob	English	1994	6	4	Adams	0.5	1	\$35K
Nancy	Math	2002	3	5	Daniels	0.4	0	
Nancy	English	2002	3	5	Daniels	0.2	0	
Nancy	Math	2003	4	6	Jones	-0.1	0	
Nancy	English	2003	4	6	Jones	0.1	0	

#### APPENDIX TABLE 1 Structure of Linked Analysis Dataset

Notes: This table illustrates the structure of the linked analysis sample which combines information from the school district database and the tax data. There is one row for each student-subject-school year. Individuals who were not linked to the tax data have missing data on adult outcomes and parent characteristics. The values in this table are not real data and are for illustrative purposes only.

Age 23 Age 24 Age 25 Age 26 Age 27 Age 28 Age 29 Age 30 Age 31 Age 32 Age 23 1.000

#### APPENDIX TABLE 4 Cross-Sectional Correlations Between Test Scores and Earnings by Age

	Dependent Variable: Earnings (\$)											
Age:	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)			
No Controls	889	1,098	1,864	3,592	4,705	5,624	6,522	7,162	7,768			
	(20)	(25)	(28)	(34)	(39)	(44)	(48)	(51)	(54)			
With Controls	392	503	726	1,372	1,759	1,971	2,183	2,497	2,784			
	(64)	(79)	(91)	(110)	(125)	(139)	(152)	(161)	(171)			
Mean Earnings	6,484	8,046	9,559	11,777	14,004	16,141	18,229	19,834	21,320			
Pct. Effect (with controls)	6.1%	6.2%	7.6%	11.6%	12.6%	12.2%	12.0%	12.6%	13.1%			

Notes: Each cell in the first two rows reports coefficients from a separate OLS regression of earnings at a given age on test scores measured in standard deviation units, with standard errors in parentheses. See notes to Table 1 for our definition of earnings. We restrict this table to students born in cohorts 1979 and 1980, so that regressions are estimated on a constant subsample of the linked analysis sample. There is one observation for each student-subject-school year, and we pool all subjects and grades in estimating these regressions. The first row includes no controls; the second includes the full vector of student- and class-level controls used to estimate the baseline value-added model described in Section III.A as well as teacher fixed effects. Means of earnings for the estimation sample with controls are shown in the third row. The last row divides the coef152955(h)0.de

Dependent Variable:	Earnings at Age 28	College at at Age 20	College Quality Age 20	Teenage Birth
	(\$)	(%)	(\$)	(%)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Male	2,408 (88) [22,179]	5.36 (0.06) [34.24]	1,976 (16) [26,205]	n/a
Female	2,735	5.74	2,262	-1.58
	(80)	(0.06)	(17)	(0.05)
	[21,078]	[41.07]	[27,695]	[13.25]
Non-minority	2,492	5.11	2,929	-0.72
	(139)	(0.08)	(27)	(0.04)
	[31,587]	[59.67]	[34,615]	[2.82]
Minority	2,622	5.65	1,734	-1.96
	(62)	(0.05)	(12)	(0.06)
	[17,644]	[28.98]	[23,917]	[17.20]
Low Parent Inc.	2,674	5.14	1,653	-1.72
	(85)	(0.06)	(15)	(0.07)
	[18,521]	[26.91]	[23,824]	[16.67]
High Parent Inc.	2,573	5.73	2,539	-1.29
	(92)	(0.06)	(18)	(0.06)
	[26,402]	[49.92]	[30,420]	[9.21]

#### APPENDIX TABLE 5 Heterogeneity in Cross-Sectional Correlations Across Demographic Groups

Notes: Each column reports coefficients from an OLS regression, with standard errors in parentheses and the mean of the dependent variable in the estimation sample in brackets. These regressions replicate the second row (full sample, with controls and teacher fixed effects) of estimates in Columns 1-4 of Appendix Table 3, splitting the sample based on student demographic characteristics. The demographic groups are defined in exactly the same way as in Panel A of Table 6. We split rows 1 and 2 by the student's gender. We split the sample in rows 3 and 4 based on whether a student belongs to an ethnic minority (black or hispanic). We split the sample in rows 5 and 6 based on whether a student's parental income is higher or lower than median in the sample, which is \$31,905.

#### APPENDIX TABLE 6

Dep. Variable:	Earnings at	College at	College Quality	Earnings at	College at	College Quality
	Age 28	Age 20	at Age 20	Age 28	Age 20	at Age 20
	(\$)	(%)	(\$)	(\$)	(%)	(\$)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Grade 4	7,561	18.29	6,378	2,970	6.78	2,542
	(57)	(0.05)	(13)	(122)	(0.09)	(23)
Grade 5	7,747	18.27	6,408	2,711	5.28	2,049
	(50)	(0.05)	(13)	(108)	(0.08)	(23)
Grade 6	7,524	17.95	6,225	2,395	4.92	1,899
	(51)	(0.05)	(14)	(140)	(0.10)	(27)
Grade 7	7,891	18.23	6,197	2,429	4.48	1,689
	(54)	(0.05)	(14)	(198)	(0.11)	(29)
Grade 8	7,795	19.10	6,596	2,113	5.43	2,106
	(48)	(0.05)	(13)	(141)	(0.11)	(28)

#### APPENDIX TABLE 7

Robustness of Baseline Results to Student-Level Controls, Clustering, and Missing Data

	Dep. Var.:	College at Age 20	College Quality at Age 20	Earnings at Age 28
		(%) (1)	(\$) (2)	(\$) (3)
Teacher VA, with baseline controls		0.825 (0.072)	299 (21)	350 (92)
Observations		4,170,905	4,167,571	650,965
Teacher VA, with additional individual con	trols	0.873 (0.072)	312 (21)	357 (90)
Observations		4,170,905	4,167,571	650,965
Teacher VA, school clustered		0.825 (0.115)	299 (36)	350 (118)
Observations		4,170,905	4,167,571	650,965
Teacher VA, cells > 95% VA coverage		0.819 (0.090)	277 (26)	455 (202)
Observations		2,238,143	2,236,354	363,392
Teacher VA, cells > median match rate		0.912 (0.094)	345 (28)	563 (203)
Observations		2,764,738	2,762,388	278,119
Nataa				

Notes

5%	4%	3%	2%	1%	0%		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)

Test Score

Dependent Variable:												
Age:	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	
Teacher Value-Added	0.61 (0.06)	0.81 (0.07)	0.82 (0.07)	0.98 (0.08)	0.71 (0.07)	0.44 (0.07)	0.58 (0.07)	0.46 (0.08)	0.50 (0.07)	0.46 (0.09)	-0.01 (0.11)	
Mean Attendance Rate	29.4	36.8	37.2	35.7	32.2	24.4	20.31	17.3	15.7	13.9	12.3	
Dependent Variable:												
Age:			20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	
			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	
Teacher Value-Added			-32 (11)	-35 (14)	-18 (18)	44 (25)	74 (32)	141 (44)	230 (47)	254 (63)	350 (92)	
Mean Earnings			5,696	735(,)-	0.16857	3(6)0.15	51-1135(	e)0.152	51861(g)	)0.151 7	0.84(735.	154041(6

#### APPENDIX TABLE 10 Impacts of Teacher Value-Added on Current and Future Test Scores

Dep. Var.:					
	t	t+1	t+2	t+3	t+4
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Teacher VA	0.993 (0.006)	0.533 (0.007)	0.362 (0.007)	0.255 (0.008)	0.221 (0.012)
Observations	7,401,362	5,603,761	4,097,344	2,753,449	1,341,266

College Quality at Age 20								
Panel A: Reduced-Form Coefficients								
	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8			
Teacher Value-Added	226 (31)	289 (33)	292 (48)	482 (61)	198 (48)			
Panel B: Coefficients Net of Teacher Tracking								
	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8			
Teacher Value-Added	194	270	173	402	198			

#### APPENDIX TABLE 11 Impacts of Value-Added on College Quality by Grade

Notes: This table presents the regression estimates plotted in Figure 7; see notes to that figure for details.

	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8
Grade 4 Teacher VA	0.028	0.057	0.024	0.027

Years Used to Estimate VA Present Value of Earnings Gain per Class Undiscounted Sum of Earnings Gain per Class Present Value of Earnings Gain per Class

Undiscounted Sum of Earnings Gain per Class

## FIGURE 2

# E ect of Teacher Value-Added on Earnings

a) Earnings at Age 28

•


## FIGURE 5

## E ects of Changes in Teaching Sta Across Cohorts on College Outcomes

a) Change in College Attendance Across Cohorts vs. Change in Mean Teacher VA

## FIGURE 7

## APPENDIX FIGURE 1 Stability of College Rankings by Age of Earnings Measurement

a) Rankings of Colleges Based on Earnings at Ages 23 and 27 vs. Age 32

